

October
usgf
NEWS

Official Publication of the United States Gymnastics Federation P.O. Box 4899 Tucson, Arizona 85717 U.S.A.



WORLD UNIVERSITY GAMES

August 15-25, 1973

Moscow, USSR



United States Gymnastics Federation

Executive Office: P.O. Box 4699, Tucson, Arizona 85717
(602) 622-2843 Cable Address: "USGYM"

EDITORIAL:

Editorial:

The World University Games are history now. The American gymnastics teams did an excellent job, and even without John Crosby who spent some time in a Soviet hospital with appendicitis, the men's team managed to place sixth and the women fifth. These games, held last August featured participation in nine sports, and saw more than 4,000 athletes involved. As has become the rule, the gymnastics competition proved to be very popular and spectators jammed the hall every evening to see Olga Korbut and Company win almost all the medals. East Germany's great women's team was conspicuous by it's absence. No one knows why they were not present.

This year, the USGF Congress will be at the St. Louis Marriott Hotel, November 2-3-4th. It should prove to be one of the finest, and probably will stand as one of the largest ever as we see more pre-registrations at the national office than ever before. The agenda is crowded with technical and administrative sessions. Both the men's and women's Olympic Gymnastics Committees will meet as will the newly formed National Association of Independent Gymnastics Clubs and the NACGC. The agenda for this years Congress is in this issue of the USGF NEWS.

The East Germans have agreed to visit the U.S.A. in late January-early February of next year, with their combined men's and women's teams. Featuring excellent gymnasts, their tour will be short but of a very high level gymnastically. The national office regrets they will apparently be unable to visit any parts of the USA other than the East due to a time limitation placed on them as to the number of days they may stay. We invited them for more than two weeks but they unfortunately cannot stay more than ten days, making it impossible to compete and travel and compete again with any great distance involved.

1974 is the year of the World Championships in Gymnastics. Varna, Bulgaria is the site and October 20-27, are the dates. The USGF contemplates a tour, with a charter flight from Chicago and we will keep you advised as to plans for that trip. The time is now to begin to make firm, practical steps for preparation and training of our teams. The U.S.G.F. Women's Committee has received some uncalled-for criticism with regard to limiting qualifications to the USGF ELITE championships to USGF regional events. It is a most necessary step, and one taken with much thought and consideration. It is not now, and never has been the goal of the USGF to duplicate or otherwise interfere with existing organizational programs, but rather supplement those programs with a truly international program which we super-imposed above school-college programs to fill in a void, and concentrate on all-around gymnasts. The USGF program is the proper one to follow for gymnasts aspiring to international competition, and qualification should be through USGF events.

Frank L. Bart
Executive Director

WORLD UNIVERSITY GAMES
August 15-25, 1973
Moscow, USSR

The American contingent was 302 strong. Two charter flights carried teams in nine sports to the Moscow airport. From the airport to the Moscow State University by bus and up to our assigned floors. The Moscow State University is one building, and that building is one of the world's largest buildings. Security measures were strong, but there was concern that no incident such as the type that marred Munich should occur again. Although there were some incidents such as the Cuba USA basketball attack, and the harsh treatment afforded the Israel basketball team, none-the-less the Games went on and the food was exceptionally good and in time things went smoothly.

Rusty Mitchell and Mildred Murray (New Mexico and Springfield College) coached the men's and women's teams. Kathy Shelly (Sacramento) and Jim Howard (LaCrosse, Wisc) were managers. Every athlete and coach and manager in these games must represent a college or university . . . even the medical staff was selected from university staffs across the nation. Both teams trained prior to leaving and both teams trained hard in Moscow. The girls looked good from beginning to end, and Terry Spencer (SIU) managed to get to the finals in Floor Exercise. The men's team looked injured for a time before the competition began but Rusty and Jim pulled them together and they took a creditable sixth place in tough competition. There were a number of well-known names in this competition, Olga Korbut, Andranov, Burda, Gienger . . . many Olympians.

Teams for the World University Games are usually selected by a Committee made up of members from the NAIA, NJCAA, and AAHPER. This was the case in 1973, the teams were well-selected and performed well in a tough competition. In 1975 the Games will be held in Belgrade and if history repeats itself the number of athletes will increase by a large percentage. The World University Games can be a magnificent event in amateur sports if care is exercised to reduce the influence of nationalistic policies. Already they feature a song played at awards ceremonies in order to eliminate national anthems but they continue to raise the national flag and keep a steady running account of the "medal count". Perhaps the most significant aspect of these 1973 games was the hardly noticeable fact that if the U.S.A. had not been there the U.S.S.R. would have won almost every medal in almost every sport thereby reducing the games to nothing more than an exhibition of their strength in these university games. As it was, it took on the air of a dual-competition between our two nations and demonstrates the need for more involvement of other nations if the Universiade is to make positive growth in years to come.

The Team results for Women were as follows:

1. Russia	114.46
2. Japan	108.40
3. Hungary	108.30
4. Czechoslovakia	106.15
5. United States	106.46

					Team	
	Vault	Bars	Beam	Floor	Total	Individual Place in AA
5. USA	26.86	26.6	25.56	27.45	106.46	
44 Strome Stephenie	8.65	8.55	8.75	9.1	35.05	16
41 Phillips Sandra	8.95	8.55	8.55	8.1	36.15	17
43 Gleaves Adele	9.05	7.8	8.25	9.05	33.95	24
42 Spencer Terry	8.1	8.5	7.75	9.25	33.6	29

WORLD UNIVERSITY GAMES (CONT.)

Terry Spencer did a fine job in the Floor Exercise Finals finishing 4th.

Floor Exercises

KORSUT Olga	USSR	19.55
SAADI Elvira	USSR	19.4
BURDA Lyubov	USSR	19.30
SPENCER Terry	USA	18.60
HAYASHIDA Fusami	Japan	18.55
BEKESI Ilona	Hungary	18.45

The Team results for Men, with 21 teams competing, were as follows:

1. Russia	170.05
2. Japan	167.35
3. Romania	163.95
4. German Dem Republic	163.60
5. W. Germany	163.00
6. United States	161.60

	F. Ex.	P.H.	Rings	L.H.	P.S.	H.S.	Totals	Place
8. U.S.A.	27.40	26.40	27.35	26.00	27.10	27.25	161.60	—
85 Morava Gary	9.3	8.9	9.0	9.0	9.1	9.0	54.3	11
82 Ivick James	9.1	8.7	9.1	8.45	9.2	9.1	53.65	17
83 Stephenson Jim	9.0	8.8	9.25	8.55	8.6	9.15	53.35	23
84 Carter Michael	9.0	8.5	8.95	8.25	8.8	8.8	52.3	33

Individual Placings

		Preliminary	Total
1	Andranov Nikolai	URS 56.85	113.15
2	Seukin Vladimir	URS 56.05	112.7
3	Safonov Vladimir	URS 55.8	112.35
4	Katsiyama Siroshi	JPN 56.15	112.15
5	Gierger Eberhard	GFR 55.7	111.1
6	Marchenko Vladimir	URS 55.2	110.7
7	Hoima Fumio	JPN 55.05	109.7
8	Greu Dan	ROM 53.95	109.55
9	Nashiki Tosiomi	JPN 54.0	109.2
10	Natushil Miroslav	TCH 54.05	108.05
11	Hanschke Rainer	GDR 53.8	107.55
12	Effing Bernd	GFR 53.35	107.55
13	Eger Bernd	GDR 52.35	107.5
14	Molner Imre	HUN 54.0	107.4
15	Morava Gerry	USA 53.0	107.3
16	Mack Intz	GDR 53.5	107.15
17	Ivick James	USA 53.0	106.65
18	Petrescu Konstantin	ROM 52.95	106.55
22	Stephenson Jim	USA 52.45	106.80
27	Carter Michael	USA 51.25	103.55

NEW STANDARDS FOR WOMEN'S OFFICIALS RATINGS IN GYMNASTICS

by Shirley Bryan

The new standards FOR officials ratings in gymnastics reflect a considerable expansion in the National Women's Gymnastics Certification Program. Two new levels of ratings have been added, Associate & Apprentice, to allow ratings for the great numbers of beginning judges across the nation. Computer scoring has been effected to facilitate prompt reports to the examiner.

NATIONAL OFFICIAL

- *1. Minimum grade — theoretical examination; 90%
- *2. Minimum grade — practical examination; 90%
- 3. Age — 20
- 4. Duration — Until December 1976 (thruout the Olympiad)
Must judge three meets per year or a total of six meets within a two year period to maintain status.
- 5. Recommended minimum fees — \$10.00 per session, transportation and \$15.00 per clerk.
- 6. Qualified to judge any competition in any geographical area.

REGIONAL OFFICIAL

- *1. Minimum grade — theoretical examination; 80%
- *2. Minimum grade — practical examination; 80%
- 3. Age — 18
- 4. Duration — Until December 1976 (thruout the Olympiad)
Must judge three meets per year or a total of six meets within a two year period to maintain status.
- 5. Recommended minimum fees — \$7.50 per session and transportation.
- 6. Qualified to judge any competition, with the exception of the Elite Division, in any geographical area.

STATE OFFICIAL

- *1. Minimum grade — theoretical examination; 70%
- *2. Minimum grade — practical examination; 70%
- 3. Age — 18
- 4. Duration — Until December 1976 (thruout the Olympiad)
Must judge three meets per year or a total of six meets within a two year period to maintain status.
- 5. Recommended minimum fees — \$5.00 per session and transportation.
- 6. Qualified to judge any competition, with the exception of the Elite Division, in any geographical area.

ASSOCIATE OFFICIAL

- *1. Minimum grade — theoretical examination; 60%
- *2. Minimum grade — practical examination; 60%
- 3. Age — 16
- 4. Duration — Until December 1976 (thruout the Olympiad)
Must judge three meets per year or a total of six meets within a two year period to maintain status.
- 5. Qualified to judge any sectional or local competition, with the exception of the Elite Division.

APPRENTICE OFFICIAL

- *1. Minimum grade — theoretical examination; 50%
- *2. Minimum grade — practical examination; 50%
- 3. Age — 16
- 4. Duration — Until December 1976 (thruout the Olympiad)
Must judge three meets per year or a total of six meets within a two year period to maintain status.
- 5. Qualified to judge any local competition, with the exception of the Elite Division.

*Minimum % score for both theoretical and practical must be met for rating level

WOMEN: PROGRAM FOR ELITE COMPETITION 1974

From: Mrs. Jackie Fie, Technical Chairman

Elite Qualification Meets: January 18, 19 Tent Site - Long Beach, Cal

March 29-30 Tent Site - Delaware

Those gymnasts that competed in the 1973 Elite Championships, plus those gymnasts that qualified for the 1973 Elite Championships and were unable to compete, are eligible to enter the 1974 Elite Qualification Meets. Those gymnasts are:

Anthony, Jan
Antonio, Linda
Anderson, Janette
Anderson, Laurel
Atkins, Karen
Bier, Shelly
Blesener, Robin
~~Brinkley, Karen~~
~~Greenawald, Janet~~
Carr, Ann
~~Greenawald, Kim~~
Doubtby, Cole
Dunbar, Diane

Eastwood, Cindy
Fike, Debbie
Ficell, Claudia
Gaynor, Kyle
Goen, Lynn
Gross, Dandy
Grayson, Duane
Halle, Debbie
Hill, Debbie
Hirshaus, Dagmar
Howard, Kathy
Myslak, Barbara
Payton, Denise

Presimi, Jody
Phillips, Sandra
Pierce, Roseanne
Rice, Joan
Shuler, Vicki
Simone, Pam
Spencer, Tom
Stromer, Stephanie
Thies, Nancy
Walker, Denise
Wycoff, Gail
Yocum, Jack

*Note: Only 18 of these gymnasts earned a 70.00 AA total in the 1973 Elite Championships, but the TC decided to allow all 38 to enter in the 1974 Qualification Meets without pre-qualifying through the jurisdiction of the Regional Technical Director.

New Entries into the 1974 Elite Qualification Meets will be accepted provided the following conditions are met:

January Meet

1. The gymnast must attain a score of 70.00 AA (8.75) in a USGF approved (registered with Vice Chairman of the USGF/WC) competition dated after June 15th, 1973 and before January 8th, 1974.

A list of the four or five National and/or FIG rated judges that officiated must be provided.

A copy of the original AA score sheet must be provided to document the score.

All such information must be sent to the Regional Technical Director and, if in order, forwarded to the Chairman of the T.C. for final approval.

2. If a Meet situation as described above is impossible, the RTD may travel to the area of the gymnast in question at the expense of that area or club. The gymnast must perform all eight routines in one day with a reasonable rest between each routine. FIG rules and USGF Meet procedures must be followed.

The RTD will then forward all original score sheets to the Chairman of the TC before final decision is rendered.

Final date for review by the RTD will be January 8th, 1974.

March Meet

The dates for application of conditions in number 1 and 2 above will be January 25th through March 17th, 1974.

Requirements for Elite Qualification to 1974 Championships

1. Gymnasts with an AA score of 72.00 earned at the 1973 Elite Championships must enter one of the Qualifying Meets in order to obtain a score to be used for qualification for Final Trials-World Games. These gymnasts are:

Rice, Joan
Pierce, Roseanne
Thies, Nancy

Anderson, Janette
Fike, Debbie
Chase, Kim

Dunbar, Diane

These competitors will not be eliminated if their score in either of the Qualifying Meets happens to fall below the Elite qualifying score of 70.00 points.

2. All other competitors in either the January or March Meets must earn a score of 70.00 AA points (8.75) to qualify for the 1974 Elite. A gymnast entering both qualifying Meets will not be eliminated, if she scores 70.00 or better in the January Meet and falls below this total in the March Meet.
3. Any National Organization with membership in the USGF (AAU YMCA AAHPER, School, Turner) that wishes to qualify gymnasts into the 1974 Elite Championships must hold their own qualifying meet prior to either the January Meet or the March Meet. Gymnasts must earn the 70.00 AA score requirement to enter the January or March Meet.

A list of the four or five Nationally rated or FIG rated Judges that officiated must be provided.

A copy of the original AA score sheet must be provided to document the score.

All such information must be sent to the Chairman of the TC for final approval.

USGF JUNIOR AND USGF SENIOR NATIONAL MEETS

Junior April 18-19-20 1974 — Hayward, California

Senior May 9-10-11 or 2-3-4 1974 — Site undetermined

Gymnasts achieving 70.00 points using the USGF/USGWS Advanced Compulsories plus Optionals will perform the Olympic Compulsories following the Meets. These Compulsories will be judged by FIG or Nationally rated Officials only and the total points added to the optional total from the National Meet to determine whether a 70.00 is attained, enabling qualification of newcomers to the 1974 Elite Championships.

1974 Elite Championships — Proposed dates May 23, 24, 25, or May 30, 31 and June 1st
Site undetermined

Program for Final Trials for World Championships

Qualification will be based on the total of two All Around scores:

1. January or March Qualifying Meet: Is score of 70.00 or better from the USGF Junior or Senior National may be used in lieu of no acceptable score from either Qualifying Meets.
Plus
2. 1974 Elite Championships

The top twelve competitors based on these combined scores will proceed to the Final Trials from which the team will be chosen based on final placement.

when later

FIG 1972 FINANCIAL REPORT

Recapitulation of the payments
made under the heading of the 3 %

1. USA	sFr. 8 808.53
2. German Federal Republic	2 832.48
3. Japan	2 537. —
4. Switzerland	1 949.90
5. South Africa	1 533.39
6. Norway	1 371.14
7. German Democratic Republic	704.20
8. France	654.53
9. Great Britain	346. —
10. Czechoslovakia	296. —
11. Poland	240.08
12. Hungary	356.15
13. Sweden	175.64
14. Romania	157. —
15. Finland	118. —
16. New Zealand	110.66
17. Italy	51.13
18. Denmark	77.40
19. Belgium	46.46
20. Austria	30. —
21. Bulgaria	27. —
22. Luxembourg	25.05
	<u>sFr. 23 455.35</u>

that is to say approximately sFr. 6000 — less than during the year 1971.
It is noted with regret that certain federations are late respecting the statutory regulations. It will be necessary to enforce the statutes more strictly.

We are pleasantly grateful to the numerous federations who observe our instructions.

MEN: PROGRAM FOR ELITE COMPETITION 1974.

Regional Qualification Meets: April 26,27 or May 3,4, 1974.

It will be mandatory for each of the four (4) regions to conduct regional qualifying meets in 1974. The top six from each region will advance to the "Championships of the USA". The following Chairmen of the USGF Technical Committee will coordinate the Regional trials, and notify all gymnasts in their region.

West	Hal Frey
Mid-West	Rusty Mitchell
Mid-East	Roger Council
East	Eugene Wettstone

The Championships of the USA--May 10,11, 1974. Site to be selected.

These Championships will be conducted in the same manner as in 1973. The Compulsory Exercises on Friday and the Optionals on Saturday. The top six from each of four regions will compete for the Individual Championships in the All-Around as well as for each event. In addition the sectional Team Championships will also be decided. The top 12 in the All-Around will proceed to the World Game Trials.

WORLD GAME TRIALS TO SELECT THE TEAM TO COMPETE IN BULGARIA:

These trials are only open to the Top 12 All Around Men from the Elite "Championships of the USA". The contemplated date is September 27,28, 1974. The best six (6) will represent the United States in Bulgaria at the World Gymnastics Championships. The USGF will conduct a training camp prior to leaving for Bulgaria.

Bids for Elite Championships--Women and Men. Separate Competitions.

Please forward bids to this office. The minimum bid acceptable: The host to pay all costs of running the competition, all costs of judges selected by the Womens Technical or Mens Technical Chairmen (this includes transportation, lodging and meals allowance and scheduled fees), Official USGF Medals and a 50% net profits to the USGF.

Bids for the World Game Trials

Since there will be only 12 Women and 12 Men Competing and because of Television the USGF may conduct a combined trial. Bids will be accepted for combined and/or separate competitions. The USGF reserves the right to accept or reject any bid.

ORIGINAL REUTHER PRODUCTS!

BALANCE BEAM
manufactured to
FIG
Specifications

A one inch layer of specially formulated cushioning material is laminated onto the beam to give greater comfort and protection during long hours of training.

DESIGNED FOR INCREASED SAFETY
AND PROTECTION FOR GYMNASIS

Extended padding above and below the beam gives a safety of supporting legs.

'MUNICH MODEL'
ORIGINAL REUTHER BOARD

BUILT TO MEET NEW FIG SPECIFICATIONS GIVING GREATER LIFT

"QUALITY IS A LIFETIME PARTNER"

OLYMPIC SPIETH ANDERSON

OLYMPIE - SPIETH - ANDERSON LTD
PO BOX 46, ORILLIA, ONTARIO CANADA
Phone 705-325-5344

DEALER ENQUIRIES INVITED

WAREHOUSES
ORILLIA, ONTARIO CANADA
BATAVIA, NEW YORK U.S.A.

SUMMARY OF MINUTES OF WOMEN'S TECHNICAL COMMITTEE MEETING MAY 4 - 5, 1973

From: Mrs. Jackie Fit, Technical Chairman

Attendance: Mrs. Jackie Fit, Chairman

Region I J. Pasquale

Region II K. Pasquale

Region III Absent

Region IV Absent

Region V M. Trotter

Region VI S. Valley

Region VII Absent

Region VIII D. Darr

Coach's Rep. D. Flanagan

R. Hall

M. Grosfield

1. Judges' Evaluation

a. Evaluations of national meets continues - Elite, AAUW, AAU, Jr & Sr Nationals.

b. Results of computer analysis of Regional Meets were passed out to RTDs with instructions for ranking and distribution.

2. Editorial responsibilities in publication for national and regional distribution.

a. directive will be sent to publishers asking for review by IRC and Mts of articles relating to USGF competition.

b. A directive will be sent to publishers requiring that articles of a technical nature, relating to skill, technique and judging be sent to the two RTDs each time on a rotational basis for approval before printing. (Both a & b have been completed)

3. Women's Judging Association

a. After discussion of the need for such an organization and the anticipated value to be derived, Mrs. Darr was asked to study the structure of the Men's Judging Association and then outline guidelines, she feels appropriate to a Women's Judging Association. (Guidelines are currently under study by Mrs. Fit, Mrs. Darr and Mrs. Bryant)

4. Discussion of the 1973 Regional Meets

After evaluation of these competitions the following policies were set:

a. Entry deadlines for all State, Regional and National must be enforced. Reconsideration is 10 days prior to meet.

b. A \$5.00 fine may be collected for entries received after the deadline.

c. Entries may not be accepted, if received 5 days or less before the meet.

d. Verification of qualifying scores from State Meets or approved substitute competitions must be included with the entry into Regionals.

e. Entries should be available at Regionals for the National Meets and the Regional Meet Director should be responsible for sending qualified names to the National Meet Director and the TC Chairman.

5. International Tours and Competitions

a. It was decided that when a long time factor exists (Wunsh to the Hungary - USA Meet at Penn State) that gymnasts be reviewed within their region to verify that the girl has not dropped score-wise as a result of being out of shape. The RTD and the coach's rep for the area plus the adjoining RTD, if available, conduct this review and that this policy be accepted for 1974.

b. It was suggested that we work out a method of rating international meets according to class of competitors that would indicate the level of the gymnast required, so that we could involve more of our Elite gymnasts and also some of our junior Elite competitors. The categorization will be as follows:

Class A

Top 6 Elite Gymnasts Nov 1-6

Class B

3 best first Elite team 4-6

3 from 2nd Elite Team 7-9

Class C

3 from 2nd Elite Team 7-9-10-11 or 12

3 from Junior Elites

1-2-3 from Jr. National

Class D

Combination of Seniors 16 and over from 3rd group of Elites

13-14-15-16-17 or 18

3 from Junior Elites

1-2-3

If one of our top competitors was unable to compete in that Final Elite competition, we would consider results of her participation in international competition and her attaining of high scores in regional Elite competition in that year.

e. The suggestion was made that gymnasts who go abroad be rated according to conduct, dress, representation of the USA, so that we can avoid embarrassment for our country.

6. Discussion of the administration and finance of the Women's Program

a. A more detailed plan for improvement of administration of Women's Program is being studied by the Executive Board of the WC for presentation.

7. General Business

a. Region V/VIII group is without a coach's rep, and it was discovered that Mr. Varner Edwards was moving to Region VIII. He was voted Unanimously to that position.

b. Region VII - Vote was to place Audrey Schwyer into the position of RTD for VII due to moving of Mrs. Weaver to Iowa and to move Mrs. Jackie Fitz into the position of RTD for PA that Audrey will agree to assume her new RTD position.

c. Since the TG meeting, upon receiving the resignation of Mrs. Prid as RTD of Region IV, Mrs. Ernie Weaver has assumed this new post.

- J. A Technical bulletin on Medium and Superior Difficulty was passed out to RTDs and Coaches' Regs. It was accepted without change by the TC. RTDs were instructed to mail to STDs by June 1.
- c. Cheryl Wagner and Linda Chencinski were approved as two new Clinicians for the Judges Training Committee.
8. Age Group Program and Elite Program for 1974 - All States
- a. The qualification score for the State Meet will be raised to 5.5 or a score of 52.00 AA (necessary compulsory and optional score of at least 26.00 points to be voted upon at Congress).
- b. The Qualification score for the Regional meet will be raised to 7.5 or 60.00 AA score (necessary compulsory and Optional score of at least 30.00 points to be voted upon at congress).
- c. California will work on a method to divide the state into 4 sectional meets and then have to state meets (north and south) to qualify gymnasts into the Regional Meets.
- d. Qualification scores for entry into the Junior and Senior National Meets will be raised to 8.30 or a 66.40 AA score (necessary compulsory and Optional score of at least 33.20 will be voted upon at Congress).
- e. An Elite division competition will be conducted in conjunction with the Regional Meets. Compulsories and Optionals will be performed. Only gymnasts qualified for the Elite 1974 program are eligible to enter.
- f. Score requirements for Class III and II competitions will be voted upon at the Congress.
- g. A program for 1974 Elite Competition was outlined with progression to the World Games Trials (Program contained within this report.)

From Mrs. Jackie Fie, Technical Chairman
From the FIG Bulletin 1973 No. 1 page 36

Beam

The continuous grasp will not be tolerated except as a dismount.

Uneven Bars

No stops will be tolerated during the exercise. A dismount by take off from the feet will not be tolerated.

Penalty: 0.4 point

From a tucked or standing position on the low bar, a spring to a stretched inverted support (handstand) will be an element of Medium Difficulty.

A spring in order to pass from a tucked position or standing position from the bar, lowering to a following element, will be considered as a supplementary swing.

Penalty: 0.5 point

From the FIG Bulletin 1973 - 6 No. 2 page 45 Beam section 2 Add a third paragraph to read:

With 4 votes in favour and 3 against, it is decided (resolved) that you must be credited with 3 difficulties beyond the mount and the dismount (to come in force in 1974.)

NOTE

Clarification of these decisions and other technical material on page 45 and 46 of the June Bulletin will occur at the meeting of the Women's Technical Committee at the Congress of Coaches in November 1973.

1973 Continental Judges' Course FIG

Site: Toronto, Canada

Date: May 18, 19, 20, 21, 1973

Speaker: Canadian Gymnastics Federation

Lectures: Mrs. Jackie Fie

Mrs. Fay Weller

Results USA Participants

Margit Traiber	9.18	Excellent
Audrey Schweyer	9.17	Excellent
Joanne Pasquale	9.15	Excellent
Sandra Thaler	8.85	Very good
Linda Chencinski	8.66	Very good
Shirley Rahlman	8.35	Very good
Wilma Pizzali	7.83	Good
Erne Wichel	7.78	Good
Sharon Valley		"Sweet Confirmed"
Erne Weller		"Sweet Confirmed"

Regional Technical Directors RTDs

- I Mrs. Joanne Pasquale
204 S. Arroyo Ave
Folsom, Calif 95631
- II Miss Karen Pasquale
2816 68th St E.
Mettler Island, Wash 98040
- III Mrs. Sharon Weller
Star Its Box 1740
Morrison, Co 80465
- IV Mrs. Ernie Weller
938 Park Circle
Scotts, Iowa 50036
- V Mrs. Greta Triebel
WPC Indiana State University
Terre Haute, Indiana 47809
- VI Mrs. Sharon Valley
448 West River Street
Milford, Ct 06460
- VII Mrs. Audrey Schweyer
1890 S.W. 17th Street
Allentown, Pa 18130
- VIII Mrs. Delene Dorst
7878 Cathedral Hill Drive
Cincinnati, Ohio 45244

Coaches' Regs

West Mrs. Dale Flannax (I & II)
4831 Energy Way
Reno, Nevada 89603

Hans 3260 Jesse
Sparks, Nevada 89431

Intermountain (III & IV)

Mr. Rod Hill
32601 W. 44th
Wheat Ridge, Colorado
80033

Midwest (V & VIII)

Mr. Vinnie Edwards
Rt. 1
McCall Creek, Mo 63647

East (VI & VII)

Mrs. Muriel Greenfield
526 Whalley
New Haven, Conn 06515

NCAA

Postgraduate Scholarship Winners

RAYMOND PHILLIP GURA

U. of Michigan

3:38 in 200 yds. Cleveland, Ohio. Quarterback. Two-time Big Ten All-American. Member and letter in NCAA All-American selection. Member to Michigan's two honorary societies—Sigma and Nu Sigma. Recipient of M. C. Clark Year Award 1959 team captain and MVP. Plans to enter Penn State grad school.

STEVEN LOUIS HOIT

Air Force Academy

2:53 in 200 yds. Engineering. Colorado Springs, Colo. Quarterback. Four-year participant in the NCAA post in a Cadet Major in rank and in Squadron Operations Officer. The second highest rank in squadron. Has been accepted to attend the graduate school at the U. of Illinois.

JOHN GEORGE CROSBY, JR.

Southern Connecticut St. Col.

2:51 in 200 yds. West Haven, Conn. Quarterback. Winner of 13 NCAA individual championships—12 in College Division and one in University Division. Participation in the work in the American Society to pay sport Winner of the 1959 Heisman Award as the outstanding annual performer in the nation combining athletic accomplishment, scholarship and sportsmanship. Awarded 51 first places per merit during the dual sport season. Member of the Pan American Olympic and World University Games team.



JOHN CROSBY
5 Conn. Synect

Out of a total of 28 Postgraduate Awards given by the NCAA, 3 were received by graduates (see names and records above). John Crosby Jr. was also selected as one of the Finalists for the NCAA College Athlete's Top Ten awards. He offers these three fine graduates our congratulations, not only for their gymnastic prowess but also for their scholarship achievements.

Six Selected as Finalists for Top Ten Awards

Six student-athletes have been selected as finalists for the National Collegiate Athletic Association's College Athlete's Top Ten awards.

Businessmen Brian Job of Stanford and Gary Hall of Indiana join track star Dave Wottle of Bowling Green, gymnast John Crosby of Southern Connecticut State College, basketball star Doug Collins of Illinois State and wrestler Don Sherman of Iowa as the finalists.

The winter-spring nominees will be judged against finalists to be selected after the fall sports season with the winners earning the coveted "Today's Top Five Student-Athlete" awards.

The Today's Top Five will join the "Silver Anniversary Top

Five" winners which honors five former student-athletes on their 25th anniversary on college track. The NCAA's College Athlete's Top Ten will be honored at the Association's 88th annual Convention in San Francisco in January.

The finalists are selected for their athletic ability, achievement, character, leadership, citizenship and off-campus activities and academic achievement. Only seniors of the preceding calendar year are eligible.

All the winter-spring finalists except Sherman were members of the 1952 Olympic team. Sherman, the 1953 NCAA and Big Ten champion at 158 pounds, suffered a broken jaw in the final match of the Olympic tryouts and was forced to leave the team. He com-

pleted a 326 grade point average as a sociology major.

Crosby has been the dominant collegiate gymnast of the past two years as he has won a total of 13 individual NCAA championships—the most in history—including the coveted all-around title. He holds a 3.57 grade point average as an English major and was a member of the Olympic team.

Wottle, a six-time All-American in cross country and track, won the Olympic gold medal at 800 meters and compiled a 3.40 grade point average as an English history major.

Collins was considered the top defensive player on the Olympic basketball team and holds all of the Illinois State scoring records as a three-time choice on the All-

decade All-American team. His grade point average is 3.20 in health and physical education.

Hall is a two-time Olympian and was the World Swimmer of the Year in both 1949 and 1950. He is the only swimmer ever to captain the Indiana team twice and he has broken world records on 12 occasions. His grade point average is 3.78 in zoology (pre-med).

Job is also a two-time Olympian and is a recent recipient of an NCAA Postgraduate Scholarship. He holds a 3.57 grade point average in electrical engineering. He was defeated only once in four years of varsity dual meet competition in the heavyweight—and that was by teammate John Hencken, the Olympic champion.

MEN'S F.I.G. JUDGES COURSE RESULTS OF JANUARY 22-24, 1972.

Due to the very heavy workload in the Technical Committee of FIG, The results were just obtained last week of the Judges Course held in Chicago in 1972. The Course was combined with Canada and we are happy to congratulate the 26 candidates who all earned their Brevet. Mr. Arthur Garder directed the course with the assistance of Frank Cawley, Ted Murzewski & Neil Rosenheim. The Brevets are valid until December 31, 1975. The scores are listed below.

FEDERATION INTERNATIONALE DE GYMNASTIQUE / COMITE TECHNIQUE MASCULIN

Cours pour juges mexicains "C" à Chicago du 22 au 24 janvier 1972

USA
CANADA

No. pr	No. Cas	Name at admission	NAT.	Mean	I Part. B	II Part. C	Mayme	III Notes	Exam. Priv.	IV Exam. Honor.	Qual. Final	Observations
1	20	Berkel, John	USA	41	4.833	5.000	4.916	4.894	4.508	5.000	4.870	+
2	26	Grisham, Fred	USA	37	4.187	4.500	4.334	4.291	4.305	5.000	4.535	-
3	3	Aiken, Don	USA	31	4.187	4.833	4.580	3.969	4.235	5.000	4.490	+
4	21	Dratt, Ed	USA	34	4.000	4.667	4.334	3.938	4.138	5.000	4.424	+
5	9	Chicks, Thomas	USA	36	4.500	5.000	4.750	3.859	4.126	5.000	4.416	+
6	5	Nefton, Donald	USA	35	4.333	4.667	4.500	4.382	4.408	4.333	4.367	+
7	2	Hollings, Roger	USA	46	4.000	4.833	4.417	4.101	4.224	4.666	4.371	+
8	4	Feld, Harcourt	CAN	47	3.333	4.833	4.083	3.906	3.964	5.000	4.330	+
9	13	Tette, John	CAN	35	3.833	4.333	4.083	3.858	3.971	5.000	4.254	+
10	8	Schlic, Wayne	USA	38	3.887	4.500	4.084	4.403	4.292	4.333	4.336	+
11	7	Chow, Richard	USA	43	3.187	4.833	4.000	3.905	3.967	5.000	4.262	+
12	6	Capeland, Owen	CAN	48	3.472	4.000	3.500	4.719	3.855	5.000	4.250	+
13	17	Welchost, Tom	USA	34	3.833	4.167	4.000	2.719	3.965	5.000	4.340	+
14	16	Bedard, Andrew	CAN	35	3.833	4.333	3.683	4.891	3.807	5.000	4.196	+
15	22	Wright, Jerry	USA	36	3.333	3.500	3.916	3.587	3.769	5.000	4.159	+
16	1	Belvers, Larrin	USA	32	3.000	4.000	3.500	3.813	3.656	5.000	4.134	+
17	28	Michalek, Frank	USA	34	3.833	4.667	4.250	3.827	4.094	4.000	4.082	+
18	11	Huckabee, Martin	USA	41	3.187	3.833	3.688	3.886	3.687	5.000	4.052	+
19	26	Vance, Richard	USA	46	3.187	4.333	3.750	3.625	3.658	4.666	4.014	+
20	16	Eagin, Donald	CAN	44	4.857	4.167	4.182	3.275	3.771	4.666	4.014	+
21	14	Oryshchyn, Eugene	CAN	14	3.187	4.167	3.167	4.126	3.646	4.666	3.986	+
22	16	Fine, Paul	USA	18	3.833	3.667	3.750	2.940	3.348	5.000	3.897	+
23	10	Maher, Joe	USA	40	2.833	3.833	3.333	3.719	3.525	4.333	3.795	+
24	23	Zyuz, Tamsley	CAN	37	2.833	4.167	2.666	3.821	3.515	4.333	3.768	+
25	18	Temple, Tom	USA	35	2.667	3.333	3.080	3.391	3.140	5.000	3.760	+
26	27	Wickster, David	USA	41	3.833	3.333	3.416	3.436	3.423	4.333	3.729	+

Lég. + = tous les 26 participants ont obtenu ou confirmé le brevet FIG et doivent recevoir un nouveau brevet avec la copie de la FIG et la contrepartie à celui qui possède le brevet FIG en valabilité pour la 3e année 1972-1975

Direction et experts	Gender, Arthur	SUI/GEF	
	Cumiskey, Frank	USA	**
	Murynska, Ted	USA	**
	Northam, William	USA	**
Traducteur	Reusch, Helmut	SUE	**

** = confirme la validité du brevet #10 pour le 3e cycle

Chem., 6-6-1972

Pour le CTM/FAC Le Président Arthur Gaudin

Va a: [Membres du CTM/FIG](#)
[Secrétariat FIG](#)
[Fédération internationale](#)

9TH WORLD MACCABIAH GAMES REPORT

by Albie Grossfeld, Coach

A United States contingent of 189 athletes representing approximately twenty sports left for Israel on July 5. In Israel we worked out at Barbour Center, the site of the competition, for the five days prior to the competition which began on July 12. (Only optional exercises were required.)

The staging of the competition was well below the standard of other international championships even though it was far superior to my previous Maccabiah experiences. The main problems were that the hall was too small to adequately set up six events at once and, therefore, ample warm up time on some events was insufficient (fortunately it was very hot). Also, the seating capacity of 500 persons was far too small to accommodate the overflow crowd that was on hand for each session.

As for the equipment, the pommel horse, parallel bars, horizontal bar and rings were hand made in Israel while the floor exercise area was an old, worn Reuther area. The Reuther board was unpadded without an abrasive top; however, the South Africans had their new styled padded board (first used in Munich) shipped to Israel which arrived in time for the finals. (This board is more curved, higher and considerably springier than the former board.)

Mr. Ivanovic, Technical President of the FIG, was on hand to conduct a judging course prior to the competition. All judges were from Israel since no other nation had any to offer. Mr. Ivanovic acted as the meet referee and served as the superior judge for all events in the finals. Assuredly, Mr. Ivanovic's influence had much to do with the generally high standard of judging, and, I might add that it was much better than I had anticipated.

Eighteen male gymnasts representing six countries competed—U.S., Israel, South Africa, W. Germany, Italy and France.

The United States was represented by Ken Factor of Philadelphia and Michigan State University; Bruce Keeshin of Skokie, Illinois and University of Michigan; Lesny Krawitz of Albuquerque and the University of New Mexico; Steve Posner of Manhasset, N.Y. and the University of California; Bruce Waldman of Skokie and the University of Iowa; and Mark Watman of Oak Park, Illinois and Eastern Illinois University.

Prior to the competition it was obvious that it was going to be a close contest between the United States and a much improved Israeli team which has been further enhanced by immigrating USSR gymnasts and coaches. As it was, the U.S. emerged victor by 2.1 points.

The all-around proved to be a close contest between the top three competitors—Posner, Leder and Waldman—with only .1 points separating them.

In addition to winning the all-around and tying for first in floor exercise, Posner took second on horizontal bar and third in vaulting.

Dan Leder, a veteran of three Maccabiahs who first competed in 1961 and won the parallel bars in 1965, won three gold medals, one silver and one bronze medal. Leder, who represented West Germany and now lives and coaches in Cologne, is actually an Israeli and formerly represented them. Leder tied with Posner for first in floor exercise. Both received identical scores in preliminaries and finals. Gary Klass of South Africa performed a roundoff flip flop double back successfully in both the prelims and finals. He also performed a full twisting Yamashita in vaulting and a double piked flyaway off horizontal bar. Gary is only 17 years old.

Leder placed first on parallel bars but was pressed by Krawitz to an outstanding performance. Leder started with an excellent basket to handstand followed by an unusually long, well executed routine. Krawitz performed after Leder and went out to win. As it was, he hit his best ever—an exceptional performance—which earned him the silver medal.

The older of two brothers, Michael Moyal of Israel, tied for first with Leder on pommel horse with a consistent performance. The younger brother, Y. Moyal, I think that he is only fifteen, won vaulting with two solid, flighty vaults.

Bruce Waldman displayed exceptional polish and control on both the rings and horizontal bar to leave no doubt who was the champion on these events. The 9.6 given for his preliminary horizontal bar performance was the top score of the entire competition. On rings Factor and Lamproncy of Italy finished in a tie for second.

In all, the United States garnered 5 out of 8 gold, 3 silver and 2 bronze medals.

THE 9TH MACCABIAH GAMES

MEN GYMNASTIC COMPETITION RESULTS

TEL AVIV, BARBOUR HALL, JULY 2, 7, 1973

PLACE

1.	U.S.A.	POSNER	54.15
2.	GERMANY	LEDER	54.10
3.	U.S.A.	ALDMAN	54.05
4.	ITALY	LAMPONTY	53.45
5.	ISRAEL	MOYAL M.	53.20
6.	ISRAEL	MOYAL Y.	51.35
7.	ISRAEL	WISCHNIA	50.85
8.	S. AFRICA	LEVIN	50.35
9.	U.S.A.	KRAVITZ	50.20
10.	ISRAEL	NIMROD	49.90
11.	FRANCE	ZAFAWAI	49.30
12.	U.S.A.	KEESHIN	48.80
13.	U.S.A.	KEESHIN	48.30
14.	ISRAEL	BAR LOV	48.00
15.	ISRAEL	KOBENT	47.10
16.	U.S.A.	WATMAN	45.75
17.	S. AFRICA	FLAXMAN	44.15
18.	S. AFRICA	KLASS	43.85

TOTAL TEAM SCORES

1.	U.S.A.	258.05
2.	ISRAEL	255.95

CHARLES M. CREMER

We are sorry to have learned that Charles M. Cremer passed away on August 26, 1973 in his 77th year.

Charlie was a member of the United States Olympic Gymnastic team that competed in Paris in 1924. He won National A.A.U. side-horse championships while representing the New York A.C. and later represented the Flushing YMCA as an administrator and official on its National Gymnastic Council, maintaining his interest and activity in the sport of gymnastics throughout the years.

His loss will be felt by all of his many friends.

Congress

★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★ ★

FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 2, 1973

1:00 - 5:00 p.m.	REGISTRATION	Marlene Sene
3:00 - 5:00 p.m.	MEN'S OLYMPIC GYMNASTICS COMMITTEE MEETING	Bill Meade
7:00 - 8:00 p.m.	COCKTAIL PARTY	Nissen & American Equipment Co's
8:00 - 10:00 p.m.	U.S.G.F. CONGRESS BANQUET	Frank Sene

SATURDAY, NOVEMBER 3, 1973

8:00 - 12:00 noon	REGISTRATION	Marlene Sans
8:00 - 9:00 a.m.	COFFEE HOUR	Zwisch
9:00 - 11:30 a.m.	GENERAL ASSEMBLY	
9:00 - 9:05 a.m.	WELCOME BY THE U.S.G.F.	Frank J. Comiskey
9:05 - 9:30 a.m.	U.S.G.F. REPORT BY THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR	Frank L. Barz
9:30 - 10:30 a.m.	INTERNATIONAL GYMNASTICS FEDERATION REPORT	Arthur Gardner
10:30 - 11:00 a.m.	MOSCOW WORLD UNIVERSITY GAMES REPORT	Kathy Sholly, Mimi Murray, Jim Howard, Rusty Mitchell
11:00 - 11:15 a.m.	MEN'S OLYMPIC COMMITTEE REPORT	Bill Neade
11:15 - 11:30 a.m.	U.S.G.F. WOMEN'S COMMITTEE REPORT	Shirley Bryan
11:30 - 1:00 p.m.	LUNCH	
1:00 - 3:00 p.m.	U.S.G.F. BIOMECHANICAL TASK FORCE PRESENTATION	Director Gerald George
1:00 - 1:15 p.m.	Dr. Gerald F. Collins, Eastern Kentucky University Topic - "Mechanical Analysis of Human Motion - What it can Tell Us"	
1:15 - 1:30 p.m.	Ms. Kitty Keldsen, University of Massachusetts Topic - "Application of the Elevator Principles to Vaulting and Aerial Tumbling"	
1:30 - 1:45 p.m.	Dr. Gerald S. George, Old Dominion University Topic - "Comparative Analysis of Five Selected Vaulting Boards"	
1:45 - 2:00 p.m.	Dr. James Hay, University of Iowa Topic - "Biomechanics of Vaulting"	
2:00 - 2:15 p.m.	Mr. Tim Ecker, Cedar Rapids School System Topic - "Rotational Concepts in Gymnastics"	
2:15 - 2:30 p.m.	Dr. Patrick J. Bart, University of Virginia Topic - "Mechanics of Twisting in Gymnastics"	
2:30 - 2:45 p.m.	Mr. George Hory, Nissan Corporation Topic - "Mechanical Analysis of Somersaulting, Twisting, and Somersaulting while Twisting"	
2:45 - 3:00 p.m.	Dr. Anna Lissner, University of British Columbia Topic - "The Early vs. Late Grip Technique on Parallel Bars"	

Program

1973

SATURDAY, NOVEMBER 3, 1973 continued

- | | | |
|--------------------|--|------------------------------------|
| ✓ 1:30 - 2:30 p.m. | WOMEN: 1974 Elite Compulsory Program | Mrs. Fic, Chairman |
| 1:30 - 2:30 p.m. | WOMEN: National Age Group Compulsory Routines (Films) | Ms. Patole, Chairman |
| ✓ 2:30 - 4:30 p.m. | WOMEN: Elite Competition Program for 1973-74 | Mrs. Fic, Chairman |
| 2:45 - 4:15 p.m. | WOMEN: Modern Rhythmic Gymnastics, Compulsories | Mrs. Probst, Chairman |
| 2:45 - 4:15 p.m. | WOMEN: Open Forum - Organization & conduct of U.S.G.F. Meets & Clinics, Rules & Policies | Mrs. Webber, Moderator |
| 3:15 - 3:45 p.m. | MEN: 1st Judging Symposium - Madrid | Wattstone, Chairman |
| 3:45 - 5:00 p.m. | MEN: Coaching Techniques: Individual Skills | Frey-Floor Exercise |
| | Gagner Personal Horse: Made-Rings, Ballet-er-Vaulting | P. (Bar-Mitchell), H. Bar-Groffeld |
| ✓ 4:30 - 5:30 p.m. | WOMEN: National Gymnastics Judges Association | Mrs. Dant, Chairman |
| 5:30 - 7:30 p.m. | WOMEN-Glyves-Gymnastics Convention Meeting | Mrs. Bryant, Chairman |
| 6:30 - 8:00 p.m. | MEN: Meeting of the Technical Committee | Frank Cornskey |
| ✓ 7:30 - 9:30 p.m. | WOMEN: Roundtable Discussions - Judging & Coaching Techniques | |
| 8:00 - 10:00 p.m. | Meeting for the Independent Gymnastic Clubs | Tom Heinke |
| 8:00 - 10:00 p.m. | MEN: National Gymnastics Judges Association | Frank Cornskey |
| 8:00 - 10:00 p.m. | Meeting for the NHSC Association | John Hen |

SUNDAY, NOVEMBER 5, 1973

- 9:00 - 11:30 a.m. U.S.G.F. Women's Committee Business Meeting: Open to all registrants Mrs. Bryan, Chairman
 9:00 - 11:30 a.m. Meeting of the NAGGC Karl Schwenkneier, President
 11:30 - 12:00 noon General Assembly, close no session Frank Ray

"NHK 1973 CUP OF GYMNASTICS MEET"
HELD: JUNE 16, 17 IN YOKOHAMA, JAPAN
Report By Margit Treibler

This meet, the equivalent of our USGF Elite Championship, is held every year for the qualified top 36 men and women gymnasts of the country. NHK sponsors this championship with complete financing. NHK is the No. 1 National Broadcasting Company, not only for Japan but including a major part of the Orient as well.

The CUP is beautiful, made by sterling silver, decorated with roses around the edge, styled in old English. It measures about 2 feet high by 2 1/2 feet in diameter. Each winner, man and woman, receives an identical cup, which stays in Japan as a rule.

Purpose of Invitation

To add challenge and more interest to the women's competition, the Japanese Association of Gymnastics invited two of the outstanding women gymnasts of 1973 from the USA and one coach-judge. Debby Fike and Jeanette B. Anderson went as competitors, and Margit Treibler as official. We stayed in Tokyo - June 12, 13, and 14 and trained.

June 15: Friday

We were transferred to Yokohama, the site of the competition, to be housed in the Silk Hotel. Yokohama is about 1 1/2 hours driving distance from Tokyo. It is the largest harbor city in Japan, with a large, new aquarium. The drive was in terribly busy traffic with great speed, on the left side of the road. It would have been very tiring during the competition to take this trip twice every day.

II. JUNE 16 - SATURDAY, COMPULSORY COMPETITION

Warm-ups

I worked with the girls on part of their events until I had to go to the judges meeting. On the bars, Debby had to go up higher in the 1/2 turn, and in her dismount, Jeanette was doing excellent except for dismount. In vaulting, the turns were still not clean, and the landing was out of control. Beam was good. Floor was good.

What Took Place at the Judge Meeting?

In a large conference room a large U shaped table was set up, seating about 40 people involved in officiating the women's events. The Women's T.C. Chairman, who is an ex-silver medalist olympian from Mexico, Mr. Tsunomi, made a welcoming speech and gave general information about the meet. After this each event, with the head judge chairing, held an hour of discussion on the judging.

Each event had two superior judges, one man and one woman. Also, on each event out of the four judges, one was a man. The reason for this arrangement was explained by Mr. Tsunomi later, saying that the men coaches of the women's teams caused a lot of problems about the judging in the past. By involving men as judges, it aimed to counter-balance the situation.

Later during the meet, I saw that two judges were called in most frequently by the superior judge to adjust the bar scores, one was a woman and the other was a man judge. (They are planning to discontinue this men's involvement in judging for next year - I heard.)

During the conference, Mr. Yamashita (now Matsuda, who is married and uses the family name of his wife), who was one of the head judges for the bars asked me, "What do you deduct in the USA if the dismount is executed with a "tuck" position?" I answered frankly, "We don't have this situation, I have not seen any in our nationals." They started to laugh with an expression on their faces of realizing that the question was out of place.

The head judge also inquired about Fike and Anderson, asking what they usually score. I told them Fike is 9.2 9.4. Anderson is 9.3 9.4 if they perform as usual.

The following deductions were spelled out for the bars:

Tuck dismount: 0.7

Fike dismount: 0.5

I requested a double tuck landing mat for our girls. They granted the permission and decided to use it for their own girls also.

Competitors:

Begin on time at 2:30 p.m., ending at 4:50 p.m. The four events were conducted simultaneously.

The audience amounted to about 4,000 people. NHK TV broadcasted the second half live, the first half played by tape. (Economy of time, cutting unnecessary parts out of the first half.)

Disposition of the Squads

The women started out with 34 competitors. Five groups of A, B, C, D, E. Each group had 6-7 gymnasts. The strongest group was "D" with Fike (#3), Anderson (#4), Hiroshima (#21), Yabe (#23), Otsuda (#12) and Ueno (#25) competing together.

For the grouping the gymnasts were placed ("seeded"), not drawn. Exactly the same six girls placed in the top six places at the conclusion of the Compulsory competition.

How Did We Do in the Compulsories?

Beam

Group "D" began on the beam with Hiroshima first up, followed by Fike and Anderson.

Hiroshima: 9.3

Fike	9.3, receiving the highest score, tying Hiroshima
	Debby's deductions were as follows (increased per my request from the superior judge)
Squat jump 1/2 turn wobble	0.3
Turn — unsure	0.1
Dismount — low	0.2
Landing — rear stick	0.1
	9.3

Debby looked very expressive!

Anderson: 8.9

Deductions were very few, I saw the routine and scored her 8.8. Superior's deductions:

Cartwheel — lost balance, bent trunk forward	0.3
Whip up — way overshoot Ht. stand	0.3
Jump 1/2 Squat — lost balance	0.3
Jerky rhythm — choppy all the way	0.2
	8.9

Vaulting:

Fike: 9.05

Problems identical to ones at previous day's workout. Not dynamic throughout the run and flights. Low flight, shoulders not extended, second turn barely made. No push off, landing off balance. (Excellent score!)

Anderson: 9.15

Good approach. Preflight too high, late push off. Second turn not clean. Landing off balance.

Bars:

Fike: 9.3

Lifeless performance, extremely slow. The 1/2 turn not completed, Dismount low, "neck" only momentarily. Generally looked smooth.

Anderson: 9.45

Highest scoring performance in the meet. Excellent routine with 2 small breaks, stoop — knees bent. Dismount — seat dropped for cut-in. Generally dynamic, light, good control and very graceful, working with ease.

Floor:

Fike: 9.35 (best score)

Elegant, well projected, more than usual from Debby.

Faults:	HS-Split, 1st split not made
	Leaps — low
	Turns — not clean (flat-ish)

"NHK 1973 CUP OF GYMNASTICS MEET" (CONT.)

Anderson: 9.35 (best score)

Most exciting floor exercise in the whole meet. Audience was taken 100%! The whole arena was in absolute silence

Faults: Rushing — ahead of music
HS Split — lack of flexibility
Final pose — not held long enough

Results of the Compulsory Meet

	Vault	Bar	Beam	FX	AA	PL
Hiroshima (29)	9.30	9.25	9.30	9.25	37.10	1
Fike (2)	9.05	9.30	9.30	9.35	37.00	2
Anderson (3)	9.15	9.45	9.30	9.35	37.00	3
*Yabe (33)	8.85	9.25	8.80	9.35	36.85	4
*Okada (13)	8.85	9.00	9.00	9.15	36.05	5
*Ueno (29)	8.60	8.90	9.10	9.00	35.60	6
*Ishimura (78)	8.80	8.70	8.70	9.25	35.45	7

*Note: University students (Hiroshima is an office worker in a bank, working 3 days a week — few hours daily. Rest of her time is released by the bank for training)

Conclusion:

Debby's placing 2nd was due to her weakest event — vaulting. She must get better on this event.

Jeanette's placing 3rd was due to her characteristics as a competitor, inconsistency. She has to improve her emotional control. She is so nervous that she cannot sleep half of the night and wakes up exhausted. If she could have performed her beam for a 9.3 score, she would have been ahead of Hiroshima and everyone going into the optional.

After Meet:

While we were waiting for the results, both girls were giving autographs by the hundreds. Later as they cleared out the audience, both felt like working out on the beam. They practiced their optional routine, doing their favorite moves for 30 minutes.

In the evening, both girls had a big steak dinner and went to bed at 9:00 p.m. I handwashed their uniforms to have fresh clothing for the next day's competition and decorated the balcony of the Silk Hotel with the laundry.

III. JUNE 17 — SUNDAY: OPTIONAL COMPETITION

We had a similar schedule for the warm up and judges meeting as on Friday.

Competition:

Group D with the top 6 competitors of the compulsion remained the same and started on Floor.

Floor:

Fike: 8.90

Why? Her music as a piece, and as a recording, was the poorest in the entire competition. It was embarrassing to listen to! Boring, colorless, hard to hear, Fike who was already written about in all the papers as a "Russian Built" attractive American young girl, but who is an "unimpressive performer" was unusually handicapped before she started by her music. Execution enormously tumbling, the "full" barely made and mainly amplitude errors — small in legs — flat uncomplicated turns.

Anderson: 8.00

Excellent routine! Tumbling low, but an elegant, stylish performance. She was very under-scored. Her music was lost also, the audience could not enjoy it because of its poor quality to hear it clearly. In comparison to the beautiful, low piano music before and after her, it was a "musical noise" quality only. Her main deductions for execution came from: executing the "full" low and legs apart, front somers low and stepped out of boundaries.

Bars:**Fike:** 9.45

Very clean, fluent routine but not dynamic. She had 4 very small amplitude errors.

Anderson: 9.5Excellent! Best performance in the meet. She missed her handstand $\frac{1}{2}$, but covered up extremely well. Her Hecht was executed with bent knees again, plus her landing did not have controlled balance.**Vaulting:****Fike:** 9.0

Handspring, Yamashta both without speed and dynamic. Slightly low.

Anderson: 9.125

Handspring with a low second flight and off balance in landing. Yamashta with fairly good execution but could not stop her momentum at landing.

Balance Beam: was our last event. Since the bars were finished early, I had the opportunity to fully view it.**Fike:** 9.55Debby was first up in her group. She did a very excellent and beautiful performance. This is her strongest event, no doubt. Her biggest mistake was in the mount. She did not make her handstand $\frac{1}{2}$ stoop though. (She stopped down from handstand to beam.) She had the best performance in the whole meet — very controlled, freely moving and with the most confidence.**Anderson:** 8.85

Quarter! Jeannette fell off in her aerial front walk over. (She hadn't fallen in this move for two years!) But even without the fall, she lost her balance a couple of times so she could not be better than fourth. I felt she was under-scored also, since Himehara also fell in her aerial front, and for a less risky routine with similar breaks received a score of 9.10! Several Japanese men coaches felt the same. (I never complained about this to anyone, since it would have been most uncourteous due to the extreme politeness exercised in Japanese culture, but acknowledged their comments by silently listening.)

RESULTS OF THE COMPULSORY-OPTIONAL MEETS

Place	Vault	Bar	Beam	FX	AA	PL
# 1 Himehara (21)	9.30	9.25	9.30	9.25	37.10	
	9.375	9.40	9.10	9.25	37.125	74.225
# 2 Fike (3)	9.05	9.30	9.30	9.35	37.00	
	9.000	9.45	9.55	8.90	36.90	73.900
# 3 Anderson (4)	9.15	9.45	8.90	9.35	36.85	
	9.125	9.50	8.85	9.00	36.475	73.325
# 4 Ikeda (12)	8.85	9.00	9.00	9.15	35.00	
	8.850	9.05	9.30	9.20	35.40	72.400
# 5 Yabe (32)	8.95	9.25	8.80	9.15	35.05	
	8.925	9.15	9.40	9.00	35.175	72.225
# 6 Ueno (23)	8.60	8.90	9.10	9.00	35.60	
	8.800	9.20	9.40	9.10	36.60	72.100
# 7 Mura						71.825
# 8 Ishimura (23)						71.350

Judges Conference After the Meet:

For the purpose of evaluating the event, opinions were asked from each judge what the S. Judge wrote about routine composition, contents, executions, etc. I was extensively interviewed to suggest ways of improvement, which I did. They appreciated my contributions greatly and recorded it fully. I believe the judges opinions of the four events were summed up and sent to the coaches by the Japanese Association. (Good idea to adopt.)

Very few women coaches were in the meet. Many young men served as the coach. (Women seem to be less involved in professional careers than in USA.)

"NHK 1973 CUP OF GYMNASTICS MEET" (CONT.)

Banquet:

About 30 men and one woman were present at the formal evening dinner (with lobster!) given in our honor by the Japanese Gymnastics Association. The TV (NHK) and newspapers were also represented by their No. 1 man and several gymnastic leaders from the various areas of Japan. They made many speeches expressing the pleasures of having us in their guests. As soon as the first sentence was said, everybody closed their eyes and looked like they went to sleep. (I think they did!)

I was asked to make a speech of reply which I had expected and prepared for. I had included all that was expected from us (thanks to the daily briefing of my American friend who lives in Tokyo who informed me daily about the papers and TV exposures on our team). I could thank the people for many things; for all the publicity we received, 60% of the spotlight was on us in TV and papers every day, for the great experience of being in their smoothly run meet, competing with their five gymnasts; for the fair judging and the good results we made. I thanked them in the name of the USGF and the name of our Women's T.C. for inviting us, and thanked them for the friendship and great care, hoping to return their kindness and warmth when we can have the pleasure of hosting them in our land.

We received gifts, a silver pen with pearls and a hand painted plate. Then they formed a reception line and we shook hands with each person, saying good bye.

NEXT PART OF THE TOUR: MONDAY -- SATURDAY.

The real fun started the next day. In two cars, Mr. Takeo and Mr. Tsurumi drove us for sightseeing to Kamakura City (ancient shrine), to the foot of Fuji mountain, to Lake Ashinoko resort. We had a bath in the open air of Hakone hot springs (\$14 per person) and a typical Japanese lunch -- every bit delectable, served price by piece.

We again stayed in the Palace Hotel in Tokyo and trained the next two days at Nippon University and Nippon Physical Education College.

OSAKA -- KYOTO, THURSDAY -- SATURDAY

During the 1 1/2 hour ride on the train following the seashore, we got to see a lot of the land. Rice fields next to factories, and lots of quickly running springs coming down from the mountains forming canals to irrigate the small patches of rice fields. Japan looked like Switzerland with its many, huge, green mountains.

Our three days consisted of workouts and sightseeing in Kyoto, which is the oldest, most historical Japanese city, fairly intact from the war. We visited many shrines and gardens around the temples. It is about 1 hour driving distance from Osaka. At each place we went, Mr. Tsurumi bought a pack of colored postcards for each of us as souvenirs from Japan.

We had two days of workouts in Shitennoji Girl's High School. Every woman's team in the area (about 9-10) was present at the workout for a joint training. The girls were high school age and younger and about 90% coached by men. Each team worked with their own coach. We saw some excellent tumbling from two teams and good bar work from one team. Again, while the gymnasts were waiting for their turns they practiced in couples, spotting each other. At the bar, while observing a teammate doing her routine, the rest of the team yelled in rhythm with the move. This spiritual aid was very effective as they all made their routines quite long.

Each day after the workout, they lined up every gymnast and we had to give a small speech to them. I asked each member of our delegation to express herself as to what she enjoyed most about the visit.

We were invited to the home of a wealthy gymnast for lunch, then to a formal Japanese banquet in a tea garden by the PTA of Shitennoji High School. The principal of Sei Fu High School also invited us to his home for dinner (for a real Sukiyaki Party). This family was very affluent in Japan as they hosted the Prime Minister and other important figures in their home frequently. Sei Fu High School is an olympic producing boy's high school.

This occasion again brought many welcoming speeches and a great deal of celebration with small gifts.

SUMMING IT UP:

Our stay in Japan was the most unforgettable. They made us feel most important and distinguished. They constantly surrounded us with the top people of their organizations. They took time off to show us the country that we could learn about their people and their way of life, and made sure that we had a really great time.

Everything experienced was first class, not only materially, but in human friendship as well.

We say thank you (ARIGATTO) to the USGF for giving the great gymnastic opportunity to us.

Respectfully submitted,
Mrs. Margot Treiber

SOMETHING'S WRONG WITH THE JUDGING SYSTEM

By Kitty Kjeldsen

At a team meeting recently we had a brief argument as to whether or not gymnastics should be judged. The majority felt it should not be scored and we agree. How can a judge score creativity and originality? Who is to decide what is creative or original? An original idea to one person might be a hackneyed idea to another person.

But let's face it. Everyone wants good scores and will do whatever she can to get them. If the judges do not give scores for creativity, then gymnasts will not make any effort to be creative. They will spend their time and energy doing those difficulties which will be rewarded.

The first criticism we have of judging is that it puts the emphasis on the types of skills which can be judged easily. For the convenience of the judges who are forced to score us, the more important aspects of our routine construction — the ones which are not easy to judge — are neglected.

Scores also turn gymnasts into a bunch of robots. We do whatever judges want us to do. The fact is, we're scared of many of our judges because of the power that judging gives them over us.

Thirdly, we do not feel that judges are always fair. For example, if one gymnast has trouble in vaulting and works hard at it and gets a 7.0 and another gymnast finds vaulting easy and doesn't work at it and gets a 9.0 is that fair? If a gymnast really tries hard in an event and gets a low score, she might get discouraged and stop trying. We've seen this happen to some of our teammates. So judging isn't fair to gymnasts who have trouble but who really try hard. Judges sometimes say they take effort into account, but how do they know how hard we have worked on something? And how do they know how hard it was for us to do a particular skill? How can a judge really be fair when she has 130 gymnasts to score?

Finally, we're against judging because it encourages cheating. From what we've seen, a lot of gymnasts cheat with skills, in one way or another. How can we pretend this is a good sport if so many people care so little about the basics that they are willing to cheat their way through the difficulties?

Maybe it's time we thought about the problems that judging creates. We think it would be much better if judging was completely eliminated.

Adapted from "Wad-j-e-g-e-t? The Grading Game in American Education" by Kirschen Gaum, Simon and Napier, Hart Publishing Co., New York, New York, 1971

Adapted from "Wad-j-e-g-e-t? The Grading Game in American Education" by Kirschen Gaum, Simon and Napier, Hart Publishing Co., New York, New York, 1971

ZWICKEL

A Distinctive Line
of Men's and
Women's Uniforms



Why Settle for less?
FREE CATALOG

ZWICKEL

Gymnastic
Tailors

P.O. Box 309
Jenkintown, Pa. 19046

FIRST INTERNATIONAL (INTER CONTINENTAL JUDGES SYMPOSIUM)
Madrid, Spain – September 11 to 16, 1973

As the week of discussion and lectures drew to a close, summaries made by the Study Committee Members of the TC/FIG (Arthur Gardner, Ivan Ivanovic, and Alex Lylo) were made and revealed to a degree the future direction for the code. Mr. Lylo said that 27 Federations sent 51 people to Madrid. He thanked the Federations for their support. He gave great credit to the Spanish Federation for their part in supplying translators, facilities, transportation, and the many other persons of the organization.

Mr. Lylo said that this study committee came here to listen to everyone and to all the proposals and that further ideas for Code refinements can still be mailed to the Chairman before Sept. 31. The new Code of Points according to the Representatives from Czechoslovakia will be written by the end of March 1975 and will be ready by late July 1975. A new Continental Course for Judges will be held in November 1975.

Mr. Arthur Gardner, FIG President and member of study committee, also voiced his opinion concerning the course. He said that many speeches both good and bad had been introduced and that he had heard about simplifying the code when in reality so many new proposals will of course bring great difficulties to those who must prepare the next Code. Gardner said:

"It is easier to discuss matters in the Code but very difficult to put them into print. I see myself alone sometimes when one favorable proposal effects so many other portions of the Code. Thus, authoritarianism is almost necessary at times when one needs to deal with markings, proofs, and proving difficulties."

The FIG President spoke about the dangers of monetary and reminded the coaches and judges about the feminine Code of Points where strong deductions exist for repetitious performances by individuals of a team. Monetary effects our audience... the sport has a great need for the public.

The new TC President, Ivan Ivanovic of Yugoslavia closed the week long session by addressing the Coaches and Judges for the last time. The mild manner Chairman said:

"In my opening address to you, I said that this was the first Judges' Symposium in the History of the FIG. I have learned a great deal from your contributions. We shall take this material and study it – not an easy project – we are amateurs, we have other obligations – we shall do our very best."

In his typical sincere manner, Mr. Ivanovic continued by saying:

"Concerning the Code, your criticisms have eased our thoughts. The paper I read about the evolution of the sport since 1900 to 1964 revealed that in 11 years we have made greater progress than in 34th of a century. If we move too fast a revolution will develop and the sport destroyed. My dear friends, modifications must be made slowly. We hope the new Code will be better and not more complicated. I'm sure the symposium was fruitful. On behalf of the TC/FIG, we thank the Spanish Federation and all the International Gymnastic Federations."

There were five long days devoted to this Symposium starting at nine AM and ending at seven PM Sept. 11-15. Four academic papers were prepared and read with unanimous translations by air transfer sets into English, French, German, and Spanish. These lectures lasted approximately two hours and were followed by lengthy discussion, proposals, and clarifications by those in attendance. Time limit was usually set at 15 minutes per person by one Federation Representative, either the national coach or the Technical Director spoke about the ideas expressed in the paper or about related matters in the Code. Mr. Frank Cursakay T.D. of the U.S.G.F. spoke for the U.S.A. and in my opinion represented our image splendidly and with noticeable effect.

The first paper by Mr. Ivanovic covered the History of Judging and Scoring and evolution of the 1968 Code. He stressed many points regarding the marking of exercises which he believed needed further refinement. He charged the representatives with specific ideas involving combination, monetary, dismounts, extension, competitors #1, #2, #3, and #4.

The second paper was read in Russian by Leonid Arkarev of the USSR. It was long and complicated, lasting perhaps something over 2 hours. At the conclusion there were responses from the audience requesting that the contents of major proposals be repeated since the translations by the interpreters into the other four languages was not completely satisfactory. Mr. Arkarev was given 30 minutes to repeat all his proposals once more without any elaboration. The following is what the USSR and Mr. Arkarev proposed:

1. Enlarge the scheme of difficulty.
2. Review values on Horizontal bar.
3. Better explanation of elements of all apparatus.
4. Scheme of penalties for faults when exercise is completely destroyed.
5. Music for Floor Exercise.
6. Maximum score for Comp #1 to be 10.0 points as written in code and #2 and #3 competitors remain the same.
7. Monetary deductions on Pommel Horse.
8. More precise definition for R.D.V.
9. Enlarge the two end zones on the vaulting horse to 65cm. each.
10. At least one B part in floor exercise that is not acrobatic (tumbling).
11. Scissors requirement on Pommel Horse to be 2 simultaneous scissor backward or 2 simultaneous scissors forward.
12. Deduct for bent arm shoots to handgrip on rings.
13. More precise explanation of the height of Vault in the Pre-Flight and After Flight states.

This lecture was followed by 5 hours of counter proposals, agreements and further clarifications.

The 3rd lecture by the National Coach of Japan, Mr. Shimizu Tsukawaki, was given in Japanese—translated next into French and then into the remaining 3 languages simultaneously. There was much theory and philosophy for the first hour and then the long awaited summary on the last page. The main point revolved around an expression repeated at least 20 times:

"Reduction of Deductions and Bonus Points for Competition #1, #2, and #3—originality, technique, virtuosity should be given more credit."

Mr. Tsukawaki's speech was immediately countered by remarks and further proposals by the Representative from the Soviet Union. Mr. Arkasov did not agree with the contents of the paper, and proceeded to add some further proposals for the Code. The audience again requested the Japanese representative to summarize his 2 hour lecture into one page of conclusions.

Mr. Juan Antonio of Cuba presented the fourth paper. He spent considerable time trying to convince everyone that it would be better if judges would judge only a portion of the scoring factors instead of scoring the exercise as a whole. In other words he spoke about his study showing one judge for difficulty only, one for combination, and two for execution only. This study was not new as it had been attempted by several countries in the past. His major requests were:

1. More recognition for the gymnast with R.D.V.
2. Some basic design or principles to determine difficulty of movements.
3. Revise value of vaults #5 and #25.
4. Correct the printing errors on Horse Vaulting section of Code.
5. Order of Competition be by draw for #1, #2, #3 so judge is not influenced by the order in which competitors appear. (Rotate order for each event).

Proposals by various Federations are listed below. There were all recorded by the Study Committee for future consideration in the rewriting of the Code.

Ben Ivancovic-Yugoslavia:

- Strength should predominate... up to 60% on Rings
- New penalizations should be introduced under combination
- Same requirements for all three competitions
- Deduct if dismount is not a C part
- Each Vault should have the same value for each of the three competitions
- Original movements would be listed in the Code so all judges would know them.

Frank Cunniff for the USA:

- TC should develop a table of deductions under Spiritual Expression
- Submitted a series of corrections under Pommel Horse terminology
- Asked that Committee consider the removal of zones from long horse
- Proposed the elimination of the time limit from Floor Exercise.
- Requested that all Horse Vaults be re evaluated and given new vault ratings in relation to their risk and difficulty.
- Proposed that in Vaulting, a second vault be permitted but that second vault must be different and that count
- Proposed that Article 65 be rewritten and clarified.

Holland, Kloo Boots

- Recommended limited spotters to avoid careless leaping
- Recommended that Competitors #1, #2, and #3 used the basic mark of 10.

Sweden, Norway, Denmark, Lennart Malmelin

- No music for floor exercise, no time limit in F.E. no team penalties for team monotony. Consider a new value table for all Long Horse vaults
- An agreed notation even for all dual meets
- Agreed on a second vault privilege in Vaulting and the enlargement of zone areas
- R.D.V. should be used in all three competitions
- Rings — opposed GDS strength and deductions for bent arm shoots
- Recommended a loose leaf notebook arrangement for the new code

Italy, Fabio Benasini

- Fixed values for all vaults in competition #1, #2, #3
- Draw by lots for team starting positions in OG WG competitions
- Agreed minimum time limit of 45 sec in F.E.
- Change value of R.D.V. to 1 for Risk, 2 for Originality, 1 to .3 for virtuosity

Switzerland, Jack Gundthard

- Fix starting point for competition #1 to 9.7
- Increase the difficulty value from 3.4 to something higher
- Keep vault values same for three competitions.
- New chart and values for all vaults
- Introduce a D rating for super difficult movements
- Judge warned more than twice by a superior judge should be removed from judging assignments for that event

South Africa, J.C. Cloete

- Clarify art. 5 and 8 also Art 55 (when is a stoop a stoop and not a squat) What is the deduction for not raising the hand at the on-set of a performance

Japan, Shinzoku Tsukawaki

- Recommended new legislation that would reduce penalties for minor errors in new and difficult parts.

West Germany, Ralf Timmers

- Rewrite the rule covering an additional strength part on Rings
- Simplify deductions for breakdown and falling off apparatus
- Eliminate all zones on Horse Vaulting.

Spain, ?

- Eliminate all zones on Horse Vault
- The drawing of team lots for Competition 1 for Comp and opt competitions.

Poland, Andrew Koszka

- Second attempt privilege in Vaulting
- Team drawing for competitions 1

Canada, John Tuttle

- Ask for better method in qualifying judges
- Clarification of height in Vaulting

France, Joel Roger

- Wants monotony deductions defined

Belgium, Lucien Mus

- Wants deduction for Monotony

Leoid Arken, USSR

Disagreed to Monetary Deductions

Proposed only one line across the middle of the Horse so that only two zones remain...

Proposed isidor requirement on PH to only be two simultaneous fronts or backs.

Siegfried Fischer, Brazil

Agreed with Mr. Camasky on Combination Deduction chart

Proposed deductions for monetary under the Spiritual Expression area

Joao Antonio, Cuba

Proposed a negative action toward: 60% strength on Rings, 3 sec. holds on Rings, C discounts as requirements under combination; Deductions for monetary

Agreed to the two zones as proposed by Mr. Arken.

Jack Somerville, Great Britain

Proposed that smaller countries with small budgets be permitted to use two judges from each of the competing nations along with one neutral superior judge.

Jack Gunthard, Switzerland

Proposed elimination of time limit in Floor Exercise

Maintain the 2 second hold requirement on Rings

Objected to deductions in team score in regards to monetary.

Proposed no zones in Vaulting

R.O.V. should be considered in all competitions

Requested TC to study the marking system in which four judges score separate specific areas i.e. Difficult, Combination and Execution

No music for Men's Floor Exercise

Luis Aparicio, Spain

Proposed that Competitions #1, #2, #3 should have same starting values and that requirements in these competitions be increased

Alexander Lylo, Czechoslovakia (spoke for his Federation)

Apparatus requirements should be elaborated upon and defined clearly (like force movements on Rings)

Eliminate Horse zones completely

Since originality has a limited value, originality in combination parts should also be considered

Much better table for combination must be developed

Nicolai Hadzer, Bulgaria

R.O.V. should be defined. Should also be score in Competition #1

Recommend two zones

Proposed that order of competition be established by each team for each event and then rotated thereafter.

Recommended that exercises should be judged as a whole and not as individual parts.

Tap Recording of all exercises in final be developed and used in the event of protest or dispute for CG AND WG...

Two Mechanic papers should be given at future Judging Symposia

Peter Weber of the German Democratic Republic (East Germany)

Recommended not flooding Code with new proposal, but instead refinement and further clarification of present rules

Recommended that team deductions for monetary should be studied for a few years, since it is possible that the FIG rules and exercise requirements themselves may be the cause of monetary.

Maintain present marking code.

Robert Podlaha, Romania

Recommended a table of Structure for all gymnastic skills instead of flood Code book with hundreds of illustrations and values.

Manuel Gouveia, Portugal

9-4 starting point for all three competitions



WOMEN'S TECHNICAL COMMITTEE

Extracts from the minutes of the meetings held in Stuttgart from 9-16.6.1973

Chairman: Mrs. Věroslava Nagy, president of the WTC.

Those present: Mrs. Tadea Doradkina, vice-president of the WTC, Mrs. Ellen Burger, vice-president of the WTC, Mrs. Wilke Wittenberg, Mrs. Maria Rindemann, Mrs. Ulla Berg, member of the WTC.

Guest: Mrs. Jaroslava Malochova.

Excused because of illness: Mrs. Andriana Gotta, secretary of the WTC.
OAC delegates for the Women's European Championships in London.
Miss Ursel Bear as of 15.6.1973, Mr. Whitley as of 16.6.1973.

a) Instruction brochure for judges' courses

Mrs. Burger has been kind enough to undertake the drafting of this brochure. The necessary decisions will be made available to her by the other members of the WTC should reach her at the latest by 15.6.1973. A copy is also to be dispatched by the same date to the president of the WTC, Mrs. Nagy.

b) Code of points

An initial study should be ready for the meeting in Rotterdam. As the two special commissions have not yet completed their work, it is planned for them to meet two days before the meetings mentioned above. The draft will then be submitted to the president of the FIG, Mr. Gander, who will pass it for printing.

c) Vaults

1. The following vaults have been eliminated:

Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8 and 14.

2. Starting as of 1st January 1974

- | | |
|---|------------|
| Vault No. 9. With $\frac{1}{4}$ turn giant cartwheel jump, body and arms stretched during the flight in an inverted support crossways, fly or descent into a stand crossways. | 8.25 pts. |
| Vault No. 10. Handspring jump, by lowering, body and arms stretched to an inverted support sideways (descent), free to a stand rearways. | 8.75 pts. |
| Vault No. 10. Tamasheite. Jump, body and arms stretched to an inverted support sideways, turn forward through a piked (flared) position and straighten the body after leaving the bars, landing rearways. | 8.75 pts. |
| Vaults Nos. 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21 and 22 retain their value of | 10.00 pts. |

3. New vaults

- | | |
|--|------------|
| 1. Jump to inverted support with $1\frac{1}{4}$ forward somersault. | 10.00 pts. |
| 2. Jump to inverted support with $\frac{1}{4}$ turn and backward somersault. | 10.00 pts. |
| 3. Jump to inverted support — cartwheel and $1\frac{1}{4}$ backward somersault. | 10.00 pts. |
| 4. Jump to inverted support with $\frac{1}{4}$ turn (cartwheel) crossways somersault. | 10.00 pts. |
| 5. Jump to inverted support — 1 bar during the first flight with forward walkover or forward somersault (longitudinal or longitudinal turn). | 10.00 pts. |
| 6. Each vault with one longitudinal or longitudinal turn during the second flight with legs stretched or fixed. | 10.00 pts. |
| 7. Each vault with $\frac{1}{4}$ or 1 longitudinal turn in the first phase. | 10.00 pts. |
| 8. $1\frac{1}{4}$ forward somersault during the first flight to inverted support, forward walkover or any other element. | 10.00 pts. |

4. Prescriptions for the teams to start into their own routines

- Two different vaults
- One of the vaults must include a longflier or monsvue turn
- The vaults may be of the same kind, one simple, the other with a turn
- It is permissible to execute two vaults with turns, but in this case the turns must be different
- If a gymnast executes two simple vaults and one turn is lacking, the better vault will be subject to a penalty of 1 point
- Swearing is applied to the two vaults. The better executed one counts
- The gymnast is expected to perform two vaults. If she presents only one, there will be a penalty of 1 point on the mark awarded

d) New scoring according to article 7 of the code of points

Optional exercises - uneven bars, beam, floor

3 elements of superior difficulty at 1 point each	3 points
4 elements of average difficulty at 0.50 point each	2 points
Originality and compositions	2 points
Execution and amplitude of the movements	3 points
General impression	1 point
Total	5 points
	10 points

The optional exercises must include 3 elements of superior difficulty and 4 elements of average difficulty. Elements of superior difficulty may be substituted for elements of average difficulty.

e) Uneven bars

Mrs. Matfichova has drawn up the table of superior and average difficulties and the members of the WTC will be receiving the definitive version.

Changes: Hecht jump from the lower bar with turn is a superior difficulty. Descent from the compulsory exercise executed without high swinging and upward flight: penalty 0.50 pts

- No stop is permitted during the exercise. Penalty 0.20 pts
- Descent with push-off from the feet is not permitted. Penalty 0.40 pts
- From a squat or standing position on the low bar, swing to a handstand, is a element of medium difficulty
- A bounce beat (extra bending and straightening of legs) in order to pass from a squat or standing position on the low bar to a following element is to be considered as an extra swing. Penalty 0.50 pts

f) Beam

Prescriptions entering into force on 1st January 1974

Minimum duration: 1.30 minutes, i.e. 75 seconds

Maximum duration: 1.55 minutes, i.e. 95 seconds

Composition of optional exercise

The same element may be presented only once. It may be used in a series or as a single element. A series can only be recognized as such as long as there is no stop between the elements.

A stop between the elements of a series will always incur a penalty of 0.50 points.

Two to three static elements are allowed, but not before, or after, a difficult acrobatic element, otherwise the penalty will be 0.20 points.

An element which already features in a series and which is presented as an independent element in the same way is tantamount to a repetition. The penalty in this case is 0.50 points.

However, it is permissible for the same element to be presented in a modified form (from a different starting position or if this element leads to another final position).

A series corresponds to only one difficulty. Its composition has no influence on its value. A series is a superior difficulty.

An optional exercise may contain three acrobatic elements but the overall composition of the exercise must comply with all the prescriptions specified in the code of points: at least one 360° turn, one jump with half-turn, movement of the body, walkover, inverted stretched support, etc. With regard to the composition the following are demanded:

- 3 superior difficulties and
- 4 average difficulties which may be replaced by superior difficulties — acrobatic elements, i.e. all kinds of free walkovers and flip-flops
- a back somersault as an element in the exercise is not allowed. Penalty: 0.50 points.
- the back somersault is allowed as a descent.



WOMEN'S TECHNICAL COMMITTEE

g) Floor exercises

- The work of Mrs. Denisénko. After correction, she will be sending a copy of her report to the members of the WTC.
- In the floor exercises, we discussed 3-5 series of difficulties. Towards the end of the exercise, it would be desirable for the gymnast to present a series or a really wild difficulty.
- Lack of material accomplishment. Penalty 1 point.

h) General remarks

The drawings of the parts with difficulties in view of elaborating the draft for the Code of points will have to be executed by the federation dealing with the apparatus in question. The work will not be remunerated. The new films should be perfect and the Federation of the German Democratic Republic is making the basic films. If necessary, gymnasts capable of executing the various elements to perfection will be invited to collaborate. If everything is thoroughly prepared, 4 days should suffice for this undertaking.

i) Judges' courses in Canada and the United States

In the FIG, everyone works on an honorary basis. If necessary, a small adequate daily remuneration is permissible in addition to the regulatory indemnity.

j) Minutes

The minutes of the meetings held in January 1973 in Stuttgart are approved.

l) 1974 World Championships in Vercor

Qualifications would appear necessary.

m) German-language judges' courses

8 - 10.12.1973 in Vienna (Austria)
17 - 21.12.1973 in Stuttgart.

n) Modern rhythmic gymnastics

Another meeting will take place in August 1973.

o) 1976 Olympic Games

Note has been taken of the correspondence between the IOC and the FIG. The members of the WTC are of the opinion that 36 teams (Olympic) and 4 competing groups each consisting of 6 individual gymnasts should be allowed to participate. Competition No. 2: 36 gymnasts, a maximum of 4 per federation. With regard to the final, the proposal made by the IOC is contrary to the principle of capacity. It is thought that the best gymnasts should be able to participate in the final.

p) Age of the gymnasts

A gymnast must attain her 14th birthday during the year of the competition. The old prescriptions are thus still applicable.

q) Replacement of an injured gymnast during the competition

The WTC is against a possibility of this nature.

The president of the WTC: Valérie Nary
The secretary for the minutes: Elzbieta Wloszczyńska





WHEN YOU
THINK ABOUT IT...
AMERICAN
IS HARD TO BEAT!

Yes, Jimmy, you and thousands of top coaches, teachers and competitors agree that American is 1st in performance, quality and value. American equipment was selected for use in nearly every major gymnastics championship this past year.

Write for our free catalog of gymnastics apparatus, mats, tramps, and PE equipment. It will give you something to think about.



**American Athletic
Equipment**

P. O. Box 111 Jefferson, Iowa 50129

'73

USGF CONGRESS

1973 U.S.G.F. CONGRESS

St. Louis/Marriott Hotel

November 2, 3, 4, 1973

The 1973 USGF CONGRESS will be held at the beautiful St. Louis/Marriott Hotel which is directly across from Lambert Airport which serves St. Louis. The 1973 Congress will have a busy schedule and will feature visitors from other nations, as well as America's finest coaches and officials, in a 3 day exchange of ideas, plans, and materials.

The cocktail party (7:00 to 8:00 PM) and the Banquet (8:00 to 10:00 PM) will be held on Friday, November 2 this year. Newly structured Olympic Committees are planning to meet Thursday evening or during the day on Friday. Any group planning a meeting during the Congress should contact the USGF for assigned meeting rooms and times.

Please contact the St. Louis/Marriott directly for your hotel accommodations. Complete the registration form below and mail to the USGF office in Tucson. Please include your check for \$15.00 for the Congress and the Banquet on Friday, November 2. If you do not plan to attend the banquet, just include your check for \$10.00 with the registration form. REMEMBER the dates are as changed from the June USGF NEWS.

NOVEMBER 2, 3, 4, 1973

U.S.G.F. CONGRESS REGISTRATION FORM

NOVEMBER 2, 3, 4, 1973

LAST NAME

MIDDLE

FIRST NAME

ADDRESS

CITY

STATE

ZIP

SCHOOL - CLUB OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT

Fill in the above and return to USGF, PO Box 4689, Tucson, Arizona 85717

Include Registration fee (Make payable to USGF), \$15.00, includes the Banquet, on Friday, November 2nd. Registration fee without attending banquet is \$10.00.

THE 1973 U.S.G.F. CONGRESS, NOVEMBER 2, 3, 4, 1973 - ST. LOUIS, MO.

USGF 1973



Materials List

ALL ORDERS HAVE TO BE PRE-PAID. BOOKS ARE MAILED BOOKRATE UNLESS PAYMENT IS ENCLOSED FOR FIRST CLASS MAIL.
SPECIFY: MEN'S OR WOMEN'S

1. CODE OF POINTS FOR MEN	The official FIG Code, includes A-B-C parts with illustrations and all rules. A MUST for all judges, coaches and gymnasts.	\$5.00
2. SUPPLEMENT TO THE MEN'S CODE	The 1971 revision to the above FIG Code. Designed to be pasted into above book.	\$2.50
3. USGF MEN'S RULES FOR COMPETITION	With book for men's rules for competition, compulsory exercises, listing of foreign teams, regulations governing USGF events, etc.	\$3.00
4. CODE OF POINTS FOR WOMEN	The official FIG Code, includes figures for difficulty ratings, rules and all latest revisions in enclosed supplement.	\$5.00
5. AGE GROUP GYMNASTIC WORKBOOK	The USGF Age Group Workbook, complete with custom compulsory for boys and girls, ages 8 through 18. Book figures and a built-in grading system for plus-point work.	\$3.00
6. ART JUDGING GUIDE FOR WOMEN	Combination of old Judging Guides 1 & 2. Includes all changes from FIG Course in Madrid, Spain.	\$3.00
7. NATIONAL COMPULSORY ROUTINES GIRLS	The official USGF SGMS routines for girls. Three levels of routines now being used nationwide for entries, college, university and postgraduate competition.	\$1.00
8. A HISTORY OF THE DEVELOPMENT OF USGF	First Edition, hard cover, of the Eastern Edition in the History of the Development of the USGF. Complete and very well documented study, begins in early 30's and reviews the greatest sports fields of years gone by. Leads to founding of USGF in 1963 and brings development up to date in 1972.	\$6.00
9. RULES AND POLICIES FOR GIRLS	The official USGF regulations and policies for girls' competition in the United States.	\$2.00
10. MEASUREMENTS & DIMENSIONS	The official FIG booklet containing all the diagrams and measurements for men's and women's apparatus.	\$2.00
11. FIG BULLETIN	Official publication of the FIG . . . mailed directly to you from Switzerland. Family circles. Available to all in gymnastics.	\$5.00 per year
12. MODERN GYMNASTICS	A. Code of Points for Modern Gymnastics B. Class III - Beginner: Gymnastique Moderne - by Michel Probst C. Class IV - Intermediate: Gymnastique Moderne - by M. Probst	\$2.00 \$1.00 \$1.00
13. USA GYMNASTICS NEWSLETTER	The official word from the USGF National Office. Listing of new books and services, technical changes and what's happening on a national scale. Published every other month.	\$5.00 per year
14. GYMNASTICS CHECKS	All new . . . RCMP available, beautiful checks in light blue with a male and female gymnast shown on them. A great new way to promote our sport. Allow it to 8 weeks for delivery and mail sample of existing check with all information you wish to have printed on your new gymnastics checks. \$5.00 - 300, \$11.00 - 450, \$16.00 - 600 etc.	
15. CREST	Embroidered cloth, suitable for use on warm-up suits, blouses, or uniforms.	\$2.00
16. USGF PIN	Gold background showing flag and USGF emblem.	\$1.00
17. DECALS	Long lasting mylar plastic with USA Flag and USGF emblem.	\$0.25
18. CLARIFICATIONS	Clarifications between text and leap film of the National Compulsory Routines for girls.	\$0.25
19. GYMNASTICS POSTERS	Perfect aim to publicize your meets or club news. Specify girl or boy figure and your desired copy. 180 posters.	\$18.00
20. BUMPER STICKERS	Great to spread your slogan . . . bright colors . . . adhesive . . . just let us know what you want to say. 180 large bumper stickers . . .	\$10.00
21. WHO'S WHO IN GYMNASTICS	The first edition of this new publication will be available Jan. 1973.	\$4.50
22. MEN'S JUDGING GUIDE AND COURSE	Published in seven parts to enable the instructor to guide the student step by step toward becoming a proficient judge in gymnastics. Available in December, 1972.	\$5.00

MAKE CHECKS PAYABLE TO U.S.G.F.

Order from the United States Gymnastics Federation, P.O. Box 4688 — Tucson, Arizona 85717 U.S.A. (602) 673-3968

THE UNITED STATES GYMNASTICS FEDERATION
P O Box 4609 • Tucson, Arizona 85717 U.S.A



To:

IRGINIA R. COCO
8009 NOBRY STREET
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19150

THIRDC-CLASS MAIL